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Serine endopeptidase enzyme from GM Trichoderma reesei

1. **Application details**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Date received: 21 September 2021**  **Date due for completion of administrative assessment:** 13 October 2021  **Date completed: 7 October 2021** | | |
| **Applicant:** AB Enzymes GmbH | | **Potentially affected Schedule**  18 |
| **Brief description of Application:**  To permit serine endopeptidase sourced from a genetically modified strain of *Trichoderma reesei* containing the serine endopeptidase gene from *Malbranchea cinnamomea,* as a processing aid in the manufacture of vegetable and animal protein hydrolysates. | |
| **Procedure:**  General Level 1 | **Estimated total variable hours:**  240 hours  **Reasons why:**  It will involve an assessment of relatively minor complexity, since it is assessing a new source microorganism of an already permitted enzyme | **Estimated start date:** Oct 2022 (unpaid) |

1. **Decision**

|  |
| --- |
| **Application accepted**  **Date**: 8 October 2021 |

1. **Additional matters**

|  |
| --- |
| **Has the Applicant requested information in the application is confidential commercial information (CCI) or confidential?**  Yes CCI and confidential  **What documents are affected?** Appendix – CCI and Country’s permissions (France and Denmark) as confidential  **Has the Applicant provided redacted copies of documents containing CCI (i.e. CCI version and non CCI version and non CCI executive summary)?**  **Has the Applicant provided justification for why information is CCI or confidential?**  Yes |
| **Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together?**  No |

1. **Charges**

|  |
| --- |
| **Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit (ECCB) on the Applicant?**  No |
| **Does the Applicant want to expedite assessment (i.e. pay) for this Application?**  Yes |

1. **Assessment against FSANZ Act 1991 requirements**

|  |
| --- |
| **Subsection 26(2)** |
| **(b) Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?**  Yes |
| **(c) Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it should not be accepted?**  No |
| **(d) Are there any other matters relevant to the decision whether to accept or reject the application?**  No |

|  |
| --- |
| **Does the application meet each of the following criteria required by subsection 22(2)?** |
| 1. **The application is in writing**   Yes |
| 1. **The application is in the form specified in guideline 3.1.1 of the Application Handbook**   Yes |
| 1. **The application includes all information and each thing that the section 23 guidelines of the Act state must be included in such an application.**   Yes 3.1.1 and 3.3.2 |
| **Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?**  Yes  **Indicate which Procedure:**  General |
| **Other Comments or Relevant Matters:**  Nil |

1. **Consultation & assessment timeframe**

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposed length of public consultation periods:**  6 weeks |
| **Proposed timeframe for assessment**  ‘Early Bird Notification’ due: 15 November 2021  Commence assessment (clock start) early Oct 2022  Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure early Feb 2023  Public comment mid-Feb 2023–late March 2023  Board to complete approval mid-June 2023  Notification to Forum late June 2023  Anticipated gazettal if no review requested early September 2023 |